



The Lesson of the Kaibab

Introduction: The environment may be altered by forces within the biotic community, as well as by relationships between organisms and the physical environment. The **carrying capacity** of an ecosystem is the maximum number of organisms that an area can support on a sustained basis. The density of a population may produce such profound changes in the environment that the environment becomes unsuitable for the survival of that species. For instance, overgrazing of land may make the land unable to support the grazing of animals that lived there.

Objectives:

- Graph data on the Kaibab deer population of Arizona from 1905 to 1939
- Determine factors responsible for the changing populations
- Determine the carrying capacity of the Kaibab Plateau

Background

Before 1905, the deer on the Kaibab Plateau were estimated to number about 4000. The average carrying capacity of the range was then estimated to be about 30,000 deer. On November 28th, 1906, President Theodore Roosevelt created the Grand Canyon National Game Preserve to protect the "finest deer herd in America."

Unfortunately, by this time the Kaibab forest area had already been overgrazed by sheep, cattle, and horses. Most of the tall grasses had been eliminated. The first step to protect the deer was to ban all hunting. In addition, in 1907, The Forest Service tried to exterminate the predators of the deer. Between 1907 and 1939, 816 mountain lions, 20 wolves, 7388 coyotes and more than 500 bobcats were killed.

Signs that the deer population was out of control began to appear as early as 1920 - the range was beginning to deteriorate rapidly. The Forest Service reduced the number of livestock grazing permits. By 1923, the deer were reported to be on the verge of starvation and the range conditions were described as "deplorable."


The Kaibab Deer Investigating Committee recommended that all livestock not owned by local residents be removed immediately from the range and that the number of deer be cut in half as quickly as possible. Hunting was reopened, and during the fall of 1924, 675 deer were killed by hunters. However, these deer represented only one-tenth the number of deer that had been born that spring. Over the next two winters, it is estimated that 60,000 deer starved to death.

Today, the Arizona Game Commission carefully manages the Kaibab area with regulations geared to specific local needs. Hunting permits are issued to keep the deer in balance with their range. Predators are protected to help keep herds in balance with

food supplies. Tragic winter losses can be checked by keeping the number of deer near the carrying capacity of the range.

DATA

1. Graph the deer population data. Place time on the X axis and "number of deer" on the Y axis. Label the axis and title the graph.



Year	Deer Population
1905	4,000
1910	9,000
1915	25,000
1920	65,000
1924	100,000
1925	60,000
1926	40,000
1927	37,000
1928	35,000
1929	30,000
1930	25,000
1931	20,000
1935	18,000
1939	10,000

Analysis

1. During 1906 and 1907, what two methods did the Forest Service use to protect the Kaibab deer?
2. Were these methods successful? Use the data from your graph to support your answer.

3. Why do you suppose the population of deer declined in 1925, although the eliminated of predators occurred?

4. Why do you think the deer population size in 1900 was 4,000 when it is estimated that the plateau has a carrying capacity of 30,000?

5. Why did the deer population decline after 1924?

6. Based on these lessons, suggest what YOU would have done in the following years to manage deer herds.

1915:

1923:

7. It is a criticism of many population ecologists that the pattern of population increase and subsequent crash of the deer population would have occurred even if the bounty had not been placed on the predators. Do you agree or disagree with this statement. Explain your reasoning.

8. What future management plans would you suggest for the Kaibab deer herd?



The Lesson of the Kaibab

Introduction: The environment may be altered by forces within the biotic community, as well as by relationships between organisms and the physical environment. The **carrying capacity** of an ecosystem is the maximum number of organisms that an area can support on a sustained basis. The density of a population may produce such profound changes in the environment that the environment becomes unsuitable for the survival of that species. For instance, overgrazing of land may make the land unable to support the grazing of animals that lived there.

Objectives:

- Graph data on the Kaibab deer population of Arizona from 1905 to 1939
- Determine factors responsible for the changing populations
- Determine the carrying capacity of the Kaibab Plateau

Background

Before 1905, the deer on the Kaibab Plateau were estimated to number about 4000. The average carrying capacity of the range was then estimated to be about 30,000 deer. On November 28th, 1906, President Theodore Roosevelt created the Grand Canyon National Game Preserve to protect the "finest deer herd in America."

Unfortunately, by this time the Kaibab forest area had already been overgrazed by sheep, cattle, and horses. Most of the tall grasses had been eliminated. The first step to protect the deer was to ban all hunting. In addition, in 1907, The Forest Service tried to exterminate the predators of the deer. Between 1907 and 1939, 816 mountain lions, 20 wolves, 7388 coyotes and more than 500 bobcats were killed.

Signs that the deer population was out of control began to appear as early as 1920 - the range was beginning to deteriorate rapidly. The Forest Service reduced the number of livestock grazing permits. By 1923, the deer were reported to be on the verge of starvation and the range conditions were described as "deplorable."

The Kaibab Deer Investigating Committee recommended that all livestock not owned by local residents be removed immediately from the range and that the number of deer be cut in half as quickly as possible. Hunting was reopened, and during the fall of 1924, 675 deer were killed by hunters. However, these deer represented only one-tenth the number of deer that had been born that spring. Over the next two winters, it is estimated that 60,000 deer starved to death.


Today, the Arizona Game Commission carefully manages the Kaibab area with regulations geared to specific local needs. Hunting permits are issued to keep the deer in

balance with their range. Predators are protected to help keep herds in balance with food supplies. Tragic winter losses can be checked by keeping the number of deer near the carrying capacity of the range.

DATA

2. Graph the deer population data. Place time on the X axis and "number of deer" on the Y axis. Label the axis and title the graph.

Deer Population of the Kaibab Plateau over time



DATA TABLE	
Year	Deer Population
1905	4,000
1910	9,000
1915	25,000
1920	65,000
1924	100,000
1925	60,000
1926	40,000
1927	37,000
1928	35,000
1929	30,000
1930	25,000
1931	20,000
1935	18,000
1939	10,000

Analysis

1. During 1906 and 1907, what two methods did the Forest Service use to protect the Kaibab deer? **The forest service banned hunting and exterminated predators.**
2. Were these methods successful? Use the data from your graph to support your answer. **No, the methods were not successful. The graph shows that by 1924, the population was out of control; 100,000 on a plateau with a carrying capacity of 30,000.**

3. Why do you suppose the population of deer declined in 1925, although the eliminated of predators occurred?

The population declined because the deer ruined their habitat; there were 100,000 deer where there should not have been more than 30,000.

4. Why do you think the deer population size in 1900 was 4,000 when it is estimated that the plateau has a carrying capacity of 30,000?

The deer population size was way under carrying capacity because there were natural predators and hunting was allowed.

5. Why did the deer population decline after 1924?

Hunting was allowed again and the deer could not sustain themselves on the range. There was not enough food and the population was too weak to reproduce.

6. Based on these lessons, suggest what YOU would have done in the following years to manage deer herds.

1915: Reduce livestock grazing permits, introduce predators to improve the range.

1923: Let hunters in.

7. It is a criticism of many population ecologists that the pattern of population increase and subsequent crash of the deer population would have occurred even if the bounty had not been placed on the predators. Do you agree or disagree with this statement. Explain your reasoning.

I disagree because the predators would have competed with the deer and kept the population down.

8. What future management plans would you suggest for the Kaibab deer herd?

I suggest that they be allowed to live in balance with their ecosystem once it is improved. Let the predator/ prey relationship keep the ecosystem in balance.